(C) BY-NC

Sedentary behaviour as a lifestyle risk factor in public health – Evidence of white-collar and blue-collar workers from Poland

Elżbieta Biernat^{1,A,C-F®}, Monika Piątkowska^{2,A,C-F®}

¹ SGH Warsaw School of Economics, Warsaw, Poland

² Józef Piłsudski University of Physcal Education, Warsaw, Poland

A – Research concept and design, B – Collection and/or assembly of data, C – Data analysis and interpretation,

D – Writing the article, E – Critical revision of the article, F – Final approval of the article

Biernat E, Piątkowska M. Sedentary behaviour as a lifestyle factor risk in public health. Evidence of white-collar and blue-collar workers from Poland. Ann Agric Environ Med. 2023; 30(4): 743–748. doi: 10.26444/aaem/165980

Abstract

Introduction and Objective. Relief from routine physical tasks leads to increasingly sedentary behaviour (SB) – a risk factor for non-communicable chronic diseases. It is necessary to gather interdisciplinary knowledge about the possibilities of its reduction. The aim of the study is to analyse SB and its conditions among Polish white-collar and blue-collar workers.

Materials and method. The study is based on data retrieved from a large-scale survey used to collect information on the physical activity of Polish society. The data were gathered on a representative sample of Poles at working age \leq 69 years old in 2017 (n=2,131). The Polish long version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ-LF) was used. Statistical inference was based on non-parametric (U-Mann Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis) tests.

Results. The total average sitting time of the respondents was 1,958.5 min./week. White-collar workers are more likely (p 7.5 h/day (20.2% vs 9%), and blue-collar – \leq 4.5 h/day (56.5% vs 42.1%). On a weekday, white-collar workers are sitting significantly longer. The bigger the place of residence, the longer the time sitting in both groups (p=0.000). The time of sitting on a non-working day does not differ among white-collar (221.4 min./day) and blue-collar workers (230.4 min./day). White-collar workers sit longer in vehicles (p <0.0001): 482.4 vs blue-collar workers 326.8 min./week.

Conclusions. The results suggest a change in Polish recommendations regarding the SB. Blue-collar and white-collar workers need support from the State policy in this matter and need guidance from pro-health programmes. It is necessary to intensify coherent, interdisciplinary and intersectoral activities aimed at creating environments that effectively counteract SB in the place of work and residence, especially in the larger agglomerations.

Key words

sitting during weekdays, sitting during weekends, sitting in vehicles, workers

INTRODUCTION

The percentage of jobs with lower physical activity (PA) is increasing (in the EU in 1995–2014 from 55% – 67%) [1], the level of activity is also falling in areas of life such as recreation, housework and transport [2]. Relief from routine physical tasks leads to increasingly sedentary behaviour (SB).

As a rule, people who do not engage in physical activity are defined as sedentary society. However, the SB – described as activities with low energy expenditure (≤ 1.5 MET) while sitting, reclining or lying – is not the direct opposite of the PA [3]. There are people who, despite sitting for long periods, meet or even exceed the official PA guidelines for health. Moreover, the effects of lack of PA and prolonged sitting [4] are independent of each other and constitute separate risk factors for many health consequences and mortality. Sometimes, the consequences of sitting are more harmful than not exercising [4]. Sometimes they continue regardless of the duration of the PA [5]. However, in all cases, both insufficient PA and prolonged sitting are risk factors for non-communicable chronic diseases (NCD) that must be monitored. The SB, compared to the number of studies,

Address for correspondence: Monika Piątkowska, Józef Piłsudski University of Physcal Education, Marymoncka 34, 00-968 Warsaw, Poland E-mail monika piątkowska@warf adu pl

recommendations and programmes on PA, is an underexplored area [6, 7]. Considering the fact that SB has grown significantly in recent decades in industrialised countries, and has become the main risk factor for the development of many chronic diseases and one of the most important causes of death [6], it is necessary to gather interdisciplinary knowledge about limitations.

The nature of professional work and accompanying socioeconomic status (patterns of recreation, ways of mobility, etc.) are of great importance in terms of disseminating SB. Global meta-analyses [8] emphasise that the profession and age of leaving education are the strongest correlates of sitting time [9]. Typically, employees with higher education or income have longer periods of sitting at work [8] and in vehicles [10]. On the other hand, among people in lower socio-economic positions, longer periods of sitting in front of the television are recorded [8]. It should be mentioned that those who have a sedentary job are more likely than others to be at an increased risk of death [11].

Taking all of this into account, as well as the upcoming changes (i.e. further reduction of energy expenditure, increasing importance of work-related diseases, it seems justified to conduct systematic research to improve the global supervision of SB and the collection of data on this matter from various professional groups, and from different domains of life. As evidenced by Compernolle et al. [12],

E-mail: monika.piatkowska@awf.edu.pl

Received: 10.02.2023; accepted: 05.05.2023; first published: 29.05.2023

most SB typologies of men and women (including computer sitting, motorised transport, sedentary hobbies, dining, and reading) have at least one dominant SB that sets them apart from others. Monitoring such a variety of factors can help with the development of more accurate anti-NCD strategies. The current meta-analyses indicate the limited impact of the current state policies and interventions to combat the SB [6].

The aim of this study is therefore to analyse the SB of Polish white-collar and blue-collar workers and the factors that determine it. The study examined the time of sitting on a weekday, on a day off from work, and in vehicles.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Data collection. Data was used from a survey on the physical activity of Polish society ordered by the Polish Ministry of Sport and Tourism. The study was conducted on a representative sample of Poles at working age ≤ 69 years old in 2017 (n=2,131). The sampling random-quote procedure was based on the National Official Register of the Territorial Division of the Country's (TERYT) frame. The Computer-Assisted Personal Interviews (CAPI) were carried out by trained pollsters. The survey was based on the Polish long version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ-LF) [13] in order to collect data on the volume of leisure time, domestic, occupational, and transportation PA, and assess an estimate of sitting on a typical weekday, weekend day and time spent sitting during travel (expressed in minutes) during the last seven days. For the purpose of this study only questions on sitting time were analysed.

Ethical approval. Obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Warsaw, in compliance with Declaration of Helsinki (Ethical Approval No. KEwN/60/2014).

Participants. IPAQ guidelines for data processing and analysis were followed [14]. From the initial sample (n=2,131), cases with missing data (n=4) were excluded from the study. For further analysis, a final sample (n=2,127) was used, from which two groups of employees were selected: white-collar workers (n=570) and blue-collar workers (n=729, Tab. 1). White-collar workers, according to the International Standard of Classification of Occupations, included directors/managers/owners, senior and other white-collar workers. The blue-collar workers included skilled and unskilled workers, farmers and housewives. Students and pupils as well as retirees and pensioners were not taken into account – a separate study will be devoted to them.

Data analysis. The total sitting time, including transport (during the weekdays and weekends) was calculated according to the formulae below [14]:

- Total weekly sitting time including transport (min./week) = (sitting time in minutes during weekdays \times 5 days) +
 - (sitting time in minutes during weekend × 2 days)
- + (time spent on transportation in days × time spent on transportation in minutes).

On the basis of total weekly sitting time including transport (min./week) a daily variable was calculated. In addition, total sitting time including transport (min./day)

Tal	ble	1.	Chara	acteri	stics	of	the	samp	le
-----	-----	----	-------	--------	-------	----	-----	------	----

Factors		White wor	-collar kers	Blue- wor	collar kers	Total	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
Canalan	Male	250	43.9	406	55.7	656	50.5
Gender	Female	320	56.1	323	44.3	643	49.5
	≤29	123	21.6	154	21.1	277	21.3
	30-39	189	33.2	190	26.1	379	29.2
Age	40-49	120	21.1	176	24.1	296	22.8
	50-59	87	15.3	142	19.5	229	17.6
	60-69	51	8.9	67	9.2	118	9.1
	Village	171	30.0	329	45.1	500	38.5
Place of residence	Towns < 500,000 inhabitants	280	49.1	344	47.2	624	48.0
	Towns ≥ 500,000 inhabitants	119	20.9	56	7.7	175	13.5
Total		570	43.9	729	56.1	1299	100
Age Place of residence Total	30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 Village Towns < 500,000 inhabitants Towns ≥ 500,000 inhabitants	189 120 87 51 171 280 119 570	33.2 21.1 15.3 8.9 30.0 49.1 20.9 43.9	190 176 142 67 329 344 56 729	26.1 24.1 19.5 9.2 45.1 47.2 7.7 56.1	 379 296 229 118 500 624 175 1299 	29 22 17 9 38 48 13 10

was trichotomized into \leq 4.5 h/day, between 4.5–7.5 h/day and \geq 7.5 h/day. These cut-off points were based on a recent metaanalysis suggesting that from a public health perspective, it is essential to consider individuals already exceeding 4.5 h/day, as that is the accepted cut-off point resulting in a higher risk of death due to cardiovascular diseases [9].

Statistical analysis. The statistical analyses were carried out using IBM* SPSS* Statistics ver. 22. Means (\bar{x}), medians (me), standard deviations (\pm SD) and fractions (%) were used to describe the variables. Variables of sitting time were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test. Given the non-normal distributions of sitting time variables, non-parametric tests (U-Mann Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis) were used for further analysis. The level of statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

Total sitting time. On average, Poles of working age sit 1,958.5 min./week. (Me=1,710.0; SD \pm 1,159.3) – men (p=0.001) significantly longer 2,042.0 min./week (Me=1800.0; SD \pm 1157.8) than women 1,873.4 min./week (Me=1620.0; SD \pm 1155.6). Whereby, 50.1% are sitting \leq 4.5, 36% – between 4.5 and 7.5, and 13.9% – >7.5 h/day (Tab. 2). There are visible differences (p <0.001) in the total sitting time of blue-collar and white-collar workers. White-collar workers are more likely to sit >7.5 h/day (20.2% vs 9%), while blue-collar more often sit (56.5% vs. 42.1%) \leq 4.5 h/day.

Table 2. Prevalence of sitting among white-collar and blue-collar workers

Total sitting time in	White-collar workers		Blue wo	-collar rkers	White-collar workers		
categories	n	%	n	%	n	%	
≥4.5 hours per day	215	42.1	365	56.5	580	50.1	
4.5-7.5 hours per day	193	37.8	223	34.5	416	26.0	
≤7.5 hours per day	103	20.2	58	9.0	161	13.9	
Total	511	100.0	646	100.0	1157	100.0	

Elżbieta Biernat, Monika Piątkowska. Sedentary behaviour as a lifestyle risk factor in public health – Evidence of white-collar and blue-collar workers from Poland

Weekday sitting time. Analysis of the sitting time among Poles during weekdays showed statistical differences (p=0.001) between white-collar and blue-collar workers. On average, white-collar workers sit 241.7 min./day (Me=180.0; SD \pm 168.1), and blue-collar workers - 206.5 min./day (Me=180.0; SD \pm 146.0). However, no differences depending on gender or age were found in this respect. It has been shown that the place of residence (p=0.000, Tab. 3) is of significant importance (within the groups studied). Therefore, among white-collar workers (p=0.024), the average weekday sitting time of those living in villages is 233.0 min./day (Me=180; SD ± 177.6), in towns <500,000 - 231.2 min./day (Me=180; SD \pm 159.4), and in towns \geq 500,000 – 275.9 min./day (Me=240; SD \pm 170.9). A regularity was observed among blue-collar workers – the larger the place of residence, the longer the sitting time on weekdays (p=0.000). Among those living in villages, this is 183.6 minutes (Me=180; SD \pm 126.4), in towns - <500,000 - 220.7 min./day (Me=180; SD ± 149.5), and in towns \geq 500,000 - 253.3 min./day (Me=180; SD ± 202.2).

Weekend sitting time. In the case of sitting time on nonworking days, no significant differences were found (p=0.402) between white-collar workers (221.4 min./day; Me=186.0; SD \pm 130.6) and blue-collar workers (230.4 min./day; Me=180.0; SD \pm 140.8). It was shown, however, that gender (p=0.001) and place of residence (p=0.020) differentiate the sitting time of blue-collar workers (Tab. 3). Men from this group sit longer (246.6 min./day; Me=225.0; SD \pm 149.6) than women (210.1; Me=180.0; SD \pm 126.1). The bigger the place of residence, the longer the sitting time for blue-collar workers (village – 233 min./day; Me=180.0; SD \pm 177.6; town up to 500,000 residents – 231.2 min./day; Me=180.0; SD \pm 159.4; towns with over 500,000 inhabitants – 275.9 min./day; Me=240.0; SD \pm 170.9).

Sitting time in motor vehicles. The sitting time in motor vehicles significantly differed among the examined people (p <0.0001). White-collar workers sit longer (482.4 min./week; Me=300.0; SD \pm 624.8) than blue-collar workers (326.8 min./week; Me=205.0; SD \pm 526.1). At the same time, gender (p=0.000) and place of residence (p=0.000)

were of significant importance in this respect (within the studied occupational groups). Thus, in both groups, men spent more time in vehicles. In the case of white-collar workers (p=0.004), the average time of sitting for men is 564.7 min./week (Me=360.0; SD \pm 689.1), and women – 418.7 min./week (Me=300.0; SD \pm 562.9) (Tab. 3). In the case of blue-collar workers (p=0.000), the sitting time for men was 428.2 min./week (Me=300.0; SD \pm 628.0), and women 198.8 min./week (Me=102.5; SD \pm 315.5).

The relationship between place of residence and the declared time of sitting in vehicles depended on the analysed group. Among the white-collar workers, a bigger place of residence was associated with a longer time of sitting in vehicles (p=0.022) (Tab. 3). People living in villages declared 413.6 min./week (Me=300; SD \pm 527.0), in towns <500,000 – 503.6 min./week (Me=400; SD \pm 508.6). The situation was different among blue-collar workers (p=0.000). Among those who live in villages, the average time of sitting in vehicles was 358.6 min./week (Me=140; SD \pm 530.2), in towns <500,000 – 283.4 min./week (Me=140; SD \pm 528.3), and in towns ≥500,000 – 409.6 min./week (Me=330; SD \pm 471.4).

DISCUSSION

Existing scientific evidence confirming the association of SB with many health effects [15] allows the formulation of qualitative recommendations, i.e. the need to limit sitting time. However, there is insufficient data necessary for defining quantitative recommendations [16]. This is largely due to the imprecision of research (differences in the method of measuring or reporting sitting time) and uncertainty as to the dose-response relationship between SB and many health effects. It is also problematic that SB quantitative norms may vary depending on health status, and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity level or subpopulation. There is still too little high-quality empirical data on various social groups that would support the creation of appropriate SB monitoring

Table 3. Sitting time during weekdays, weekends, and in vehicles, of white-collar and blue-collar workers

	SITTING TIME								
FACTORS		During weekdays (min) $\overline{x}\pm$ SD	р	During weekends (min) x±SD	р	In vehicles (min./week) x±SD	р	Total (min./week) x±SD	р
White-collar worker	S								
Gender	Male	234.0±163.0	NIC	222.4±124.8	NIC	564.7±689.1	- 0.004 -	2178.6±1179.4	- NS
	Female	246.6±172.0	- INS	220.6±135.1	- INS	418.7±562.9		2092.9±1258.1	
Place of residence	Village	233.0±177.6		211.1±134.0		413.6±527.0	0.022	2000.9±1165.8	0.007
	Towns < 500,000 inhabitants	231.2±159.4	0.024	220.8±131.4	NS	503.6±717.5		2099.9±1264.8	
	Towns ≥ 500, 000 inhabitants	275.9±179.9	-	237.6±122.9		532.1±508.6		2386.7±1180.9	
Total		241.1±168.1a	0.001	221.4±130.6	NS	482.4±624.8a	0.000	2130.3±1224.0a	0.000
Blue-collar workers									
Gender	Male	206.8±141.4	NC	246.6±149.6		428.2±628.0	- 0.000 -	1958.4±1137.8	- 0.000
	Female	206.0±146.0	- NS	210.1±126.1	- 0.001	198.8±315.5		1654.7±998.3	
Place of residence	Village	183.6±126.4		218.8±129.2		358.6±530.2		1721.4±973.9	
	Towns < 500, 000 inhabitants	220.7±149.4	0.000	240.8±135.8	0.02	283.4±528.3	0.000	1868.5±1141.5	0.011
	Towns ≥ 500,000 inhabitants	253.3±202.5	253.3±202.5		-	409.6±471.4	•	2146.9±1299.5	-
Total		206.5±146.0		230.4±140.8		326.8±526.1		1824.2±1958.5	

Note: statistically significant differences (p<0.05) a White-collar workers vs blue-collar; NS - non-statistically significant

and supervision systems [7, 16]. Additionally, there is also insufficient evidence to make specific recommendations on the threshold levels of the PA that would mitigate the negative effects of the SB.

746

The latest guidelines from Belgium [17], France [18], Germany [19], and the UK [20] advise adults to limit the time they spend sitting. At the same time, Belgians recommend breaking from sitting every 30 minutes [17], and the French, every 90 – 120 minutes [18]. Polish recommendations [21] only mention limiting sitting to a minimum. It is true that they suggest changing the static position at work every 10 minutes and changing the means of transport to work (from a motor vehicle to a bicycle / walking), but only for whitecollar (office) workers. This shows that this area requires further evidence, especially regarding blue-collar workers.

The obtained results, based on the analysis of sitting time on weekdays, days off and in vehicles, show that in Poland the problem of SB concerns both blue-collar and white-collar workers. Approximately half of them declared the average total time of sitting >4.5 h/day – resulting in an increased risk of cardiovascular diseases or mortality due to cardiovascular diseases [6]. Of course, according to existing reports [8], those in professional roles have a higher SB level. It is more common for them to sit -4.5 - 7.5 h/day (37.8%), and even >7.5 h/day (20.2%) than blue-collar workers (34.5 and 9% respectively) [9]. Loven et al. [9] claim that they are exposed to a five times greater risk of sitting >7.5 h/day in relation to the latter. Nevertheless, such a high proportion of bluecollar workers sitting for long periods of time is a serious problem for public health in Poland and requires changes to the existing recommendations. It is worth noting that compared to previous Polish studies [22] (conducted with the same tool), the sitting time for white-collar workers and blue-collar workers was lower than in 2014 with adequate education. This unexpected result may confirm the trend of decreasing the average sitting time (in Europe in 2002–2013 [23], in China by about one h/day in 2007–2014) [24]. This could also be the result of an underestimation. It should also be mentioned that in European countries the sitting time varies significantly (the fraction of sitting people >7.5 h/day is estimated at 8.9–32.1%; in the whole of Europe - 18.5%) [9].

Analysis of the SB among Poles only on the weekdays shows that white-collar workers sit longer than blue-collar workers. At the same time, while the time of white-collar workers is almost the same as that recorded in previous Polish studies [22], the sitting time of blue-collar workers has decreased. This may indicate an increase in their awareness of the need to limit sitting time.

The longer working time of white-collar workers on the working day is related to the nature of their daily (office) work [25, 26]. Hadgraft et al. [26] prove that working in an office significantly increases the total sitting time. These results show that the place of residence is statistically significant in this respect – the bigger it is, the longer the sitting time, as confirmed by earlier reports by Loyen et al. [9]. Cities attract fast-growing companies and knowledgable workers. It should be mentioned that sitting work in office buildings is inevitable and difficult to eliminate [24, 25]. Rational conduct should rely on the use of measures that can compensate the SB, i.e. LTPA [27]. However, workplace interventions (such as the introduction of 'standing desks') may also result in a reduction in sitting time (on average by 2 h/day) [28]. A

reduction of daily sitting time by two hours may have the benefit of a 2.3% reduction in mortality [29].

It is worth noting that the dependence on the place of residence is also indicated in the weekday sitting time of blue-collar workers (who sit about 40 minutes less than white-collar workers). There is evidence that physical work as opposed to office work – is correlated with sitting outside work [8]. Especially in the cities, people sit in restaurants, cinemas and whenever they are waiting for something – in a bank, clinic, etc. Even when they think that they are resting (at home, in front of the TV or laptop), they also sit or recline. As Clark et al. [30] state living in a regional city significantly increases the probability of watching TV for two or more hours a day. And the more strenuous the profession, the more time spent in front of the TV/DVD on a working day [31]. The Polish population study Social Diagnosis [32], defines people with vocational or primary education as 'hard' viewers, sitting in front of the TV \geq 3 h/day. Among people who reported watching TV/video $\geq 4 h/day$ at baseline were more likely to suffer a stroke than those who watched < 2 h/day, with a hazard ratio of 1.37 [33].

The time of sitting on a day off from work does not differ among white-collar workers and blue-collar workers. What is optimistic, is that this time is shorter than the time in a previous Polish research from 2014 [22]. However, while the surveyed white-collar workers spend less time sitting on their days off than on a working day, the opposite is true for bluecollar. This is confirmed by French researchers who claim that the weekend sitting time decreases with the increase in the level of education [31].

Analysis of the factors determining the sitting time on a day off in individual occupational groups shows that only among blue-collar workers there is a dependence on gender and place of residence. However, it should be mentioned that such relations were also noticed in the sitting time of the entire surveyed group of Poles. It follows that both in the latter case (men – 2042.0 ± 1157.8 ; women – 1873.4 ± 1155.6 min./week), and in the case of only blue-collar workers sitting on non-working days (men – 246.6 ± 149.6 ; women – 210.1 \pm 126.1 min./day), men sit longer. The explanation may be in the SB pattern described by Bellettiere et al. [34], according to which women more often accumulate their sitting time with shorter periods of sitting, and therefore break from long periods of sitting more often than men. Multivariate analysis by Loyen et al. [9] indicates that women in Europe have a lower OR of sitting for >7.5 h/day than men. On the other hand, a systematic review by Rhodes et al. [35] proves that there is no relationship between gender and SB in most of the analysed studies (although, in two studies men sit longer). This would indicate cultural differences depending on nationality.

In the case of blue-collar workers, dependence on the place of residence is once again confirmed – the bigger it is, the longer the sitting time on days off. Researchers suggest that this may be related to the preference for passive rest [8, 36]. Despite the high availability of sports and recreation infrastructure in urban agglomerations, blue-collar workers mainly spend their free time interacting with modern technologies, such as the Internet, video games, mobile phones, and television [37]. Unfortunately, the mere availability of sports facilities has no direct relationship to taking the LTPA. It can only facilitate the participation in PA, mainly for those who are already active [38]. Solving this problem requires promoting LTPA as a form that does not require extensive participation in organised sports, and which, with the volume recommended for health, may increase efficiency and physical fitness and thus bring significant health benefits [8]. It is necessary to increase the awareness of blue-collar workers that high physical load in the workplace (static, heavy load, involving small muscle groups in a repetitive manner, often in a forced body position) [39] does not mean improvement in physical fitness, and usually has adversely effects on the musculoskeletal and circulatory systems.

Analysis of the time spent sitting in vehicles shows that this is longer among white-collar workers, which most likely results from the fact that the car is used for both private and business purposes, and from having greater financial possibilities. A comparison with previous Polish studies shows that both in the case of blue-collar and white-collar workers, the time of sitting in vehicles has decreased quite significantly [22]. Presumably this is due to the increasing popularity of using the bicycle as a means of transport [22]. Although according to the ING Financial Barometer [40], 60% of Poles still indicate the car as the main mean of transportation, 23% use public transport, and 14% travel on foot or by bike.

The results obtained in the current study show that the time spent by Poles sitting in vehicles depends on their gender and their place of residence. Regardless of the analysed occupational group, men stayed in them longer - which is consistent with previous results [12]. The difference between Polish men and women is smaller in the group of whitecollar workers than in the group of blue-collar workers. Presumably, the reason is that in developing societies it is the more educated, working and urban women who have moved ahead to achieve a status equal to men. However, the image of the uneducated, rural and poor women still reflects subjugation [41]. It is worth mentioning that Australian researchers have noted an increase in the trend of long sitting time in vehicles among women [10]. Moreover, they indicate that this phenomenon concerns especially people employed full-time, with a higher income, and living in the suburbs. According to The Lancet Commissions [42], 16-18% of men and 10–12% of women living in cities sit in cars ≥ 2 h/day. It should be noted that the time spent in the car is adversely related to the health outcomes of the cardio-metabolic system [12].

In the current study, the relation between the place of residence and the time of sitting in vehicles was recorded in both analysed professional groups. The white-collar workers with longer sitting times were associated with a bigger place of residence. Traffic jams (especially during rush hours) and greater dispersion of points of interest consistently extend the travel time [22]. Among blue-collar workers, the longest time of sitting in vehicles was recorded among people living in large cities and in villages. The first effect confirms the earlier discussed phenomenon. The second effect points to the inequalities in the rural environment that force people to travel longer distances, e.g. to work [43]. This was noted by O'Donoghue et al. [8], proving the positive relationship between living in villages and the extended time spent on transportation.

Strengths and limitations of the study. The strength of this study is that the diagnosis was made on large, representative samples of Poles of working age (a group of white-collar and blue-collar workers). Thanks to the use of IPAQ-LF, it was possible to analyse various types of sitting (on a weekday, on a day off, in motor vehicles), and to compare the obtained results with previous Polish studies. Despite the limitations of IPAQ, such as: subjectivity, the effect of burdening with social expectations or the diverse ability of respondents to report past events, it allows the performance of a population study. The limitation of the analyses is the use of isolated variables which affect the sitting time. In future research, it is planned to use the multi-disciplinary approach suggested by Urie Bronfenbrenner's socio-ecological model [38]. Understanding individual human behavior requires looking not only at individual or socio-demographic features, but also at the entire environment, including individual, micro, meso, exo and macro layers.

CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this suggest a change in the Polish recommendations for the SB. The recommendation to limit sitting to a minimum, the suggestion to change the static position at work every 10 minutes and to change the means of transport to work only for white-collar workers, is insufficient. Both blue-collar and white-collar workers, need support from State policy in this matter, and guidance from pro-health programmes. It is necessary to intensify coherent, interdisciplinary and intersectoral efforts aimed at creating environments that effectively counteract the SB at work and at home, especially in larger agglomerations (e.g. planning more effective strategies for engaging local communities in active recreation, creating stronger partnerships with communities, health care, employers, business and government, transport and the industrial sector). The so-called urban advantage - a term that encapsulates the health benefits of living in urban as opposed to rural areas – has to be actively created and maintained through policy interventions. Moreover, the efforts to reduce SB will need to go beyond short-term implementation and go more towards achieving a sustainable system, additional benefits, and large-scale translation into policy and practice.

The results of this study are only a starting point for further research and more targeted interventions in various professional groups. It is advisable to further identify the environmental determinants as broadly as possible, and focus on different sub-populations and different types of sitting. The SB problem requires a deeper understanding of the complexity of this phenomenon (e.g. mutual relations with the environment or State policy). Without such knowledge, all activities will have a limited impact on changing the behaviour of Polish society.

Declarations

The study did not receive any financial support and the authors declare there are no conflicts of interests, nor were any studies with declared conflicts of interests taken into consideration.

REFERENCES

- 1. ISCA/Cebr. The economic cost of physical inactivity in Europe; 2015.
- 2. WHO. Long working hours increasing deaths from heart disease and stroke: WHO, ILO. Geneva; 2021.

Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine 2023, Vol 30, No 4

Elżbieta Biernat, Monika Piątkowska. Sedentary behaviour as a lifestyle risk factor in public health - Evidence of white-collar and blue-collar workers from Poland

- 3. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54:1451–62. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955
- Biernat E, Piątkowska M. Leisure-time physical activity as a compensation for sedentary behaviour of professionally active population. Work. 2018;60:329–38. doi:10.3233/WOR-182727
- 5. Ekelund U, Steene-Johannessen J, Brown WJ, Fagerland MW, Owen N, Powell KE, et al. Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. Lancet. 2016;388:1302–10. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30370-1
- López-Valenciano A, Mayo X, Liguori G, Copeland RJ, Lamb M, Jimenez A. Changes in sedentary behaviour in European Union adults between 2002 and 2017. BMC Public Health. 2020;20:1206. doi:10.1186/ s12889-020-09293-1
- Smith L, McCourt O, Sawyer A, Ucci M, Marmot A, Wardle J, Fisher A. A review of occupational physical activity and sedentary behaviour correlates. Occup Med (Lond). 2016;66:185–92. doi:10.1093/occmed/ kqv164
- O'Donoghue G, Perchoux C, Mensah K, Lakerveld J, van der Ploeg H, Bernaards C, et al. A systematic review of correlates of sedentary behaviour in adults aged 18–65 years: A socio-ecological approach. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:163. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-2841-3
- 9. Loyen A, van der Ploeg HP, Bauman A, Brug J, Lakerveld J. European Sitting Championship: Prevalence and Correlates of Self-Reported Sitting Time in the 28 European Union Member States. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0149320. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149320
- Sugiyama T, Merom D, van der Ploeg HP, Corpuz G, Bauman A, Owen N. Prolonged sitting in cars: Prevalence, socio-demographic variations, and trends. Prev Med. 2012;55:315–8. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.07.026
- Park JH, Moon JH, Kim HJ, Kong MH, Oh YH. Sedentary Lifestyle: Overview of Updated Evidence of Potential Health Risks. Korean J Fam Med. 2020;41:365–73. doi:10.4082/kjfm.20.0165
- Compernolle S, Bourdeaudhuij I de, Cardon G, van Dyck D. Sex-specific typologies of older adults' sedentary behaviors and their associations with health-related and socio-demographic factors: A latent profile analysis. BMC Geriatr. 2021;21:66. doi:10.1186/s12877-021-02011-5
- Biernat E. International Physical Activity Questionnaire Polish long version. Polish Journal of Sports Medicine. 2013;29:1–15. doi:10.5604/1232406X.1046801
- 14. IPAQ. Guidelines for the data processing and analysis of the "International Physical Activity Questionnaire – Short and Long Forms. 2005. https://sites.google.com/site/theipaq/scoring-protocol. Accessed 7 Feb 2020.
- 15. WHO. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2020.
- 16. Dempsey PC, Biddle SJH, Buman MP, Chastin S, Ekelund U, Friedenreich CM, et al. New global guidelines on sedentary behaviour and health for adults: Broadening the behavioural targets. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2020;17:151. doi:10.1186/s12966-020-01044-0
- 17. Vlaams Insituut voor Gezondheidspromotie en ziektepreventie. Aanbevelingen voor beweging. Brussels.
- Anses. Opinion of the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety on the "Updating of the PNNS guidelines: Revision of the guidelines relating to physical activity and sedentarity". Maisons-Alfort; 2015.
- Pfeifer K, Rütten A. Nationale Empfehlungen für Bewegung und Bewegungsförderung. [National Recommendations for Physical Activity and Physical Activity Promotion]. Gesundheitswesen. 2017;79:S2-S3. doi:10.1055/s-0042-123346
- 20. Department of Health and Social Care, Llwodraeth Cymru Welsh Government, Department of Health Northern Ireland and the Scottish Government. UK Chief Medical Officers' Physical Activity Guidelines; 2019.
- Ministerstwo Sportu i Turystyki, Akademia Wychowania Fizycznego im. Jerzego Kukuczki w Katowicach. Zalecenia aktywności fizycznej dla osób dorosłych w wieku 18–64 lat.; nd.
- 22. Biernat E, Piątkowska M. Individual and environmental factors determining sedentary lifestyle of the Polish population. Iran J Public Health. 2014;43:1033–44.
- Milton K, Gale J, Stamatakis E, Bauman A. Trends in prolonged sitting time among European adults: 27 country analysis. Prev Med. 2015;77:11–6. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.04.016.

- 24. Ding C, Feng G, Yuan F, Gong W, Yao Y, Ma Y, et al. Temporal Trends and Recent Correlates in Sedentary Behaviors among Chinese Adults from 2002 to 2010–2012. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019. doi:10.3390/ijerph17010158
- 25. Hadgraft NT, Lynch BM, Clark BK, Healy GN, Owen N, Dunstan DW. Excessive sitting at work and at home: Correlates of occupational sitting and TV viewing time in working adults. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:899. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-2243-y
- 26. Hadgraft NT, Healy GN, Owen N, Winkler EAH, Lynch BM, Sethi P, et al. Office workers' objectively assessed total and prolonged sitting time: Individual-level correlates and worksite variations. Prev Med Rep. 2016;4:184–91. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2016.06.011
- Biernat E, Buchholtz S, Bartkiewicz P. Motivations and barriers to bicycle commuting: Lessons from Poland. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour. 2018;55:492–502. doi:10.1016/J. TRF.2018.03.024
- Dewitt S, Hall J, Smith L, Buckley JP, Biddle SJH, Mansfield L, Gardner B. Office workers' experiences of attempts to reduce sitting-time: an exploratory, mixed-methods uncontrolled intervention pilot study. BMC Public Health. 2019;19:819. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7196-0
- Rezende LFM, Sá TH, Mielke GI, Viscondi JYK, Rey-López JP, Garcia LMT. All-Cause Mortality Attributable to Sitting Time: Analysis of 54 Countries Worldwide. Am J Prev Med. 2016;51:253–63. doi:10.1016/j. amepre.2016.01.022
- 30. Clark BK, Sugiyama T, Healy GN, Salmon J, Dunstan DW, Shaw JE, et al. Socio-demographic correlates of prolonged television viewing time in Australian men and women: The AusDiab study. J Phys Act Health. 2010;7:595–601. doi:10.1123/jpah.7.5.595
- 31. Saidj M, Menai M, Charreire H, Weber C, Enaux C, Aadahl M, et al. Descriptive study of sedentary behaviours in 35,444 French working adults: Cross-sectional findings from the ACTI-Cités study. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:379. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-1711-8
- 32. Czapiński, J., Panek, T. Social Diagnosis 2015. Objective and Subjective Quality of Life in Poland. Contemporary Economics. Quarterly of University of FInance and Management in Warsaw. 2015:1–538.
- 33. McDonnell MN, Hillier SL, Judd SE, Yuan Y, Hooker SP, Howard VJ. Association between television viewing time and risk of incident stroke in a general population: Results from the REGARDS study. Prev Med. 2016;87:1–5. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.02.013
- 34. Bellettiere J, Carlson JA, Rosenberg D, Singhania A, Natarajan L, Berardi V, et al. Gender and Age Differences in Hourly and Daily Patterns of Sedentary Time in Older Adults Living in Retirement Communities. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:e0136161. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0136161
- Rhodes RE, Mark RS, Temmel CP. Adult sedentary behavior: A systematic review. Am J Prev Med. 2012;42:e3–28. doi:10.1016/j. amepre.2011.10.020
- Biernat E, Piątkowska M. Stay active for life: Physical activity across life stages. Clin Interv Aging. 2018;13:1341–52. doi:10.2147/CIA.S167131
- Owen N, Healy GN, Matthews CE, Dunstan DW. Too much sitting: The population health science of sedentary behavior. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2010;38:105–13. doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e3181e373a2
- Biernat E, Skrok Ł, Majcherek D, Nałęcz H. Socioecological Profile of Active Adults. Sport as a Whole-life Choice. Physical Culture and Sport. Studies and Research. 2020;85:59–76. doi:10.2478/pcssr-2020-0007
- Makowiec-Dąbrowska T. Wpływ aktywności fizycznej w pracy i życiu codziennym na układ krążenia [The influence of physical activity at work and in everyday life on the circulatory system]. Forum Med. Rodz;2012:130–8.
- Pogorzelski K, Gromski M. Postawy względem samochodów, elektromobilności i car-sharingu. Wybrane wyniki badania przeprowadzonego dla Grupy ING przez IPSOS. Finansowy Barometr ING; 2018.
- Khan S. Gendered Leisure: Are Women More Constrained in Travel For Leisure? Tourismos: An International Multidisciplinary Journal of Tourism. 2011:105–21.
- 42. Rydin Y, Bleahu A, Davies M, Dávila JD, Friel S, Grandis G de, et al. Shaping cities for health: Complexity and the planning of urban environments in the 21st century. The Lancet. 2012;379:2079–108. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60435-8
- 43. Li H, Zhang Y, Ao Y, Wang Y, Wang T, Chen Y. Built Environment Impacts on Rural Residents' Daily Travel Satisfaction. Front Ecol Evol. 2022. doi:10.3389/fevo.2022.931118